


Tartaria

Jesus Christ



David Ewing Jr

Tartaria

Jesus Christ

David Ewing Jr

Introduction

**Who was Jesus Christ?
Was there 2 different men called Jesus?**

- 1 - Jesus Christ the Messiah**
- 2 - Jesus the Terrorist**

Was Jesus Christ one of the most important people in the civilisation known as Tartaria? Historians and their allies have said that no evidence can be found to show that Jesus Christ ever existed

Is this really true?

This book will examine the history of the Cathars and the Knights Templar and the Original Christians.

Historians and their friends have wasted time showing crazy theories found within the Bible and other scriptures. Who wrote these scriptures and why? Did the Crucifixion really happen? Is Christianity a big lie?

David Ewing Jr has travelled to over 2500 cities and places worldwide and has done much historical research over 20 years and has great knowledge of world history

Tartaria - Jesus Christ

Who was Jesus Christ?

Many people around the world are investigating if Jesus Christ was one of the most important people of the civilisation known as Tartaria





Photo above: - are images showing the Last Supper of Christ from the Medieval or the Renaissance times. In

many images, there is a table with a cup at the centre which is known as the Holy Grail.

Jesus Christ is known all over the world today and people are asking - Who was Jesus Christ?

Millions of people around the world are asking this question. Why are people asking questions? There is the Bible and there are scriptures and there is the Church. Many people show verses in the Bible and think it is a very important book. Many people think that they can find the history of Jesus Christ in the Bible or the Church or in the scriptures or in historical manuscripts. If that is the case... why are people asking questions?


Because: - many people do not believe the Bible or the scriptures or the Church. Why?



Millions of people around the world have studied the Bible or the scriptures and have concluded that Jesus Christ did not exist


Who wrote the Bible and the scriptures?

Why did they write the Bible and the scriptures?



The Bible says one thing in one place and then it says another thing in another place.

Historians call it: - contradictions. Many people simply call it: - lies



How did Simon Peter find out that Jesus was the Christ?

The Bible in Matthew 16:17 says that Simon found out from a revelation from heaven




But when people check the Bible carefully, they find that in John 1:41 in the Bible, it says something different: - it says that Simon found out because his brother Andrew told him



George Bush said that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction. People found out that this was not true. Many people now say that George Bush was a liar.

George Bush did not always tell lies. He said the President of Iraq was called Saddam Hussein. This is true. But many people say George Bush told lies, and this is why many people do not believe him. A liar normally mixed truth with lies




**How did Simon Peter find out that Jesus was the Christ:
- from a revelation from heaven? Or: - from his brother Andrew? Which story is true? The Bible says one thing in one place, and another thing in another place.**

The Bible said that Jesus Christ was a man and not a woman. This is true. But the Bible says one thing in one place and then says something different, and this is why many people do not believe the Bible

Millions of people have clearly said that the Bible is full of lies, and they show this evidence. But many people still believe that the Bible speaks the truth.

Many people are now using the Bible to explain science and history. Millions of people - even after they have found hundreds of lies in Christianity, they still insist that Jesus Christ is the son of God



The Bible, in the Gospel of John 8:14, says that: -

Jesus Christ said - if I bear witness of myself, my witness is true



The Bible, in the Gospel of John 5:31, says that: -

**Jesus Christ said - if I bear witness of myself, my
witness is not true**


**What is going on here? In the Bible, same book, the
Book known as the Gospel of John: - it says in one
place that Jesus said that his witness is true, but in
another place it says that Jesus said that his witness is
not true. The Gospel of John seemed like it was a joke**

Was Jesus Christ a liar?

Or -

Was the Gospel of John and the Bible - a big lie?

We cannot make excuses, we must choose an answer



**According to historians, the Gospel of John is believed
to be written by the same man who wrote the Book of
Revelation (another part of the Bible). If the Gospel of**

John had problems, then the Book of Revelation must have problems

Historians and the Church do not even know who wrote the Gospel of John or the Book of Revelation. They think or they believe that it was written by a man called John. They do not even know?




Bill Clinton, ex-President of the United States of America told lies about his relationship with a woman named Monica Lewinsky. Bill Clinton said one thing and then he later changed what he said.

Many people called him a liar




Millions of people's lives were at stake and therefore, - Bill Clinton was put on trial and the truth came out and an apology was made

The Bible said one thing in one place and then says another thing. Why has the Bible not been placed on trial or investigation? Millions of people's lives are at stake



Social media applications such as Facebook take action against what they call false information. Social media is regulated by the nation states. They choose and decide what they think is false information. And these nation states have decided not to take action, and not to put the Bible and the scriptures on trial.


The words of the Bible are allowed to be used by official organisations such as Churches for their own advantages. Nations states have regulated social media applications and they have regulated the Bible and scriptures and Churches. They allow and block information according to their wishes. It was like a game, a big joke. It had nothing to do with justice or the truth



The Bible, in the Gospel of Matthew 9:9 says that: -

Jesus saw a man sitting at the tax collector's office and called him to be a disciple. This man was called: -

Matthew



The Bible, in the Gospel of Mark 2:14 says that: -

Jesus saw a man sitting at the tax collector's office and called him to be a disciple. This man was called: -

Levi

What is going on here? In the Bible, it says in one place that Jesus chose a man called Matthew, but in another place the Bible says that Jesus chose a man called Levi. Is anyone telling lies? Who did Jesus Christ really chose? Did this event really take place?

Shall we guess? Does God want you to guess the truth?

Or -

Was the Bible - a big scam? Was the Bible written and organised by idiots who wanted to destroy the truth about Jesus Christ and also to cheat the world? The people who wrote these scriptures - probably knew that


you will find these lies. Did they do this deliberately to destroy the true history of Jesus Christ?



**In most countries, you will go to prison if you told lies.
Lies hurt people. Lies are serious.**

But the Bible and Christianity are protected in most nation states. They can teach the Bible and other scriptures to people openly. They will not go to prison for what they are teaching. They are teaching many people that the Bible speaks the truth. They are allowed to do this, because the people in power clearly want this to happen


The evidence shows that Christianity has contradictions. Why has Christianity not been put on trial? There are people all over the world teaching Christianity. Many Churches and Christian organisations and tourism companies are making huge amounts of money and the Bible has been used as a political tool to control people for many centuries



What did the Roman soldier say when Jesus died at the Crucifixion?

The Bible, in the Gospel of Luke 23:47 says that the Roman soldier said: -

Surely this man was righteous - innocent



The Bible, in the Gospel of Mark 15:39 says that the Roman soldier said that: -

Surely this man was the son of God

What is going on here? In the Bible, it says in one place that the Roman soldier said that Jesus Christ was innocent, but in another place it says that the Roman soldier said that Jesus Christ was the son of God. Is anybody telling lies here? What did the Roman soldier really say?

Did the Crucifixion really take place?

Was Jesus the son of God?

or

Was Jesus a normal man?


Shall we guess? Does God want you to guess the truth?

Or -

Was the Bible - a big scam? Was the Bible written and organised by idiots who wanted to destroy the truth about Jesus Christ?




People will be angry if their wife or husband told them lies. Millions of people separate or divorce because someone is telling lies. But the Bible can say what it wants and millions of people will still say that the Bible is speaking the truth



The Bible, in the Gospel of Matthew 14:5 says that: -


**King Herod was afraid of the people
and
King Herod wanted to kill John the Baptist**



But the Bible, in the Gospel of Mark 6:20 says that: -

**King Herod was afraid of John the Baptist
and
King Herod wanted to protect John the Baptist**


**What is going on here?
It is clear that the 2 stories are totally different. Is
anyone telling lies here? Did this event really take
place?**



**The law of murder is clear in many countries all around
the world. Did you kill someone because you wanted to
kill them? Or - was it an accident? Or - are you
innocent?**

**The story in the Bible says 2 different things about who
wanted to kill John the Baptist**


**Is this Bible, really the book of God? God does not tell
lies, - and it is clear that God did not write the Bible, but
men wrote it**



The Bible, in the Gospel of John 19:14 says that: -

On the sixth hour (also known as - noon) on the day of the crucifixion - Jesus Christ was on trial in the court of Pontius Pilate

The day of the Crucifixion, is the day that many Christians say that Jesus Christ was murdered



But the Bible, in the Gospel of Mark 6:20 says that: -


On the sixth hour (also known as - noon) on the day of the crucifixion - Jesus Christ was on the cross getting killed

Where was Jesus Christ on the sixth hour of that day? The Bible says 2 different stories. This is the Bible, the book of God? We have to guess where Jesus was. God wants you to guess. Was he being crucified or was the whole story a joke?



The evidence from the Bible shows that the people who wrote the scriptures, did not even know where Jesus Christ was on the sixth hour of the day of the crucifixion. How can they say that Jesus Christ was murdered or crucified, when they do not even know where he was?

In a murder trial, people want the truth. Witnesses will be examined to see if they are speaking the truth. These people who wrote the scriptures, clearly were not speaking the truth



Christians are not the only people in the world who love Jesus Christ. There is another large group of people who love Jesus Christ also, called Muslims. These people were followers of a book known as the Arabic Koran. These people claimed that Jesus Christ followed Islam and was a Muslim. Many historians who worked for the Church, have labelled these people as crazy and insane

These people say that Jesus Christ was a man and not the son of God, and that he was a prophet and messenger of God, who preached the Word of God





Photo above - Arabic Koran, Chapter 4, verse 157

The Arabic Koran explains the history of Jesus Christ in a different way compared to the Bible. The Arabic Koran says that Jesus Christ was not crucified for example. Muslims say that God loved Jesus Christ and God saved him from the crucifixion.




How? Muslims generally say that another man was crucified. Muslims say that God created a resemblance to appear exactly like Jesus Christ, - who was crucified instead of Jesus Christ


An incredible story... The Church and its allies said that these people were crazy. Researchers decided to investigate this matter further




While Jesus Christ was on trial at the court of Pontius Pilate, another prisoner named Barabbas was there



The Bible, in the Gospel of Matthew 27:16 says that Barabbas was a very famous notorious prisoner



In old manuscripts of the Gospel of Matthew, the full name of Barabbas can be found. His original name was Jesus bar Abbas



Jesus Barabbas means:


Jesus - son of the Father



Jesus Barabbas - "Jesus - son of the Father" - was arrested for being a terrorist and for murder



Pontius Pilate says that he will release 1 prisoner




In many old manuscripts of the Gospel of Matthew 27:15-26 it says: - Pontius Pilate basically asked the people to choose who they wish to set free

Which Jesus do you want me to set free?

Jesus Barabbas - the son of the Father

Or

Jesus the Messiah




The name: - Jesus Barabbas - “Jesus - son of the Father” was changed in new manuscripts and new scriptures. Changing things in the Bible and scriptures was very common, it was nothing new. Men wrote whatever they wished. But millions of people around the world still say that the Bible is the word of God and that Jesus Christ is the son of God

Historians give their theories for why the name Jesus Barabbas was changed. It was clear that something was

wrong with the full story of the crucifixion. Criminals normally hide evidence or change the evidence. It was clear that they were hiding something. Why change the name?

Researchers noticed that historical evidence showed that whoever wrote the scriptures had combined different characters into the stories of Jesus Christ. This is why there were divisions amongst the followers of Jesus Christ. These divisions were created deliberately by people who wanted power and control



Pontius Pilate asked the people - which Jesus shall he set free?

**Jesus the Terrorist
Jesus Barabbas - the son of the Father
Jesus - the son of God**


Or

**Jesus - the Messiah
Jesus - the son of Man
Jesus the Prophet**

Confusing? Yes. Many historical traditions say that the 2 men were identical in appearance.


Many people have said that they can both be the same person. Really ... No, they are not the same person. The Bible and many Christian scriptures clearly say that 2 people were at the trial.

Which one is the real Jesus?




In the Bible in John 18:28, it says that the Jewish leaders did not enter the palace of Pontius Pilate for the trial of Jesus Christ. This means that they did not see what happened inside the building - this is according to the Bible

This means that they will not know, - which Jesus was released and which Jesus was condemned to be killed



In the Bible in John 18:33, it says that Pontius Pilate went into his palace, - and Jesus was taken inside the palace. The Jewish leaders did not go inside, so they did not see what happened inside the palace



While the trial was taking place, the wife of Pontius Pilate, sent a message and warning to her husband saying that Jesus Christ was a good man and not to hurt him. This story is mentioned in the Gospel of

Matthew. She told her husband that she dreamed about Jesus Christ and was suffering because of Jesus Christ




Pontius Pilate said that Jesus Christ was innocent and this can be found in the Bible in several places.

This means that Pontius Pilate did not want to hurt or crucify Jesus Christ



The Gospel of John in the Bible says that Pilate tried to set Jesus Christ free

The evidence in the Bible says that Pontius Pilate is trying to set Jesus free.



The Bible and Church historians say that Pontius Pilate did not set Jesus Christ free.


Is this really true?

Or - did the Church historians and the people who wrote the Bible and other manuscripts, did they tell lies?

They said that Pontius Pilate set free Jesus the Terrorist, Jesus Barabbas, the son of the Father. They said that Jesus the Messiah was then crucified. The Bible and Church historians say that Pontius Pilate did this to satisfy the crowd of people that came with the Jewish leaders or priests. The crowd shouted "crucify him"




Evidence from the Bible and church historians shows that the crowd of people was organised by Jewish priests and leaders



But the big problem is this. Pontius Pilate was known as stubborn and cruel. Historians say that when crowds of people did not listen to him, he had them beaten to death. He was not scared of them

Pontius Pilate was a strong man according to historians, who was not afraid to do what he wanted




Today the police will move crowds away if you do not obey the people in power. Pontius Pilate killed them if they did not obey him. He was not scared of them

The evidence shows that Pontius Pilate did not want to crucify Jesus Christ and wanted to set him free. The evidence shows that the wife of Pontius Pilate warned him to set Jesus Christ free. The evidence showed that Pontius Pilate did not care what anyone said and he did what he wanted. The evidence shows that 2 similar men

called Jesus were at the trial. The evidence shows that the Jewish leaders did not see who was inside the trial

But the Bible and Church historians say that Pontius Pilate wanted to satisfy the crowd so he let them crucify Jesus Christ

The story in the Bible and in historical manuscripts did not make sense. The evidence showed that Pontius Pilate must have set Jesus Christ the Messiah free. The evidence showed that the man who was crucified must have been Jesus the Terrorist, Jesus Barabbas, the son of the Father. Researchers decided to investigate this matter further



The Greek Father of the Church, who was called Bishop Irenaeus, wrote a book called - *Against Heresies*. In this book, Irenaeus wrote that many early Original Christians said that Jesus Christ was not crucified, - but another man was crucified, - who looked the same like


Jesus Christ. These Original Christians were called crazy people or insane by the Church and its allies

The Church and its allies, were the people who had power at that time



This is nothing new. In the world today, people who do not accept the official story about September 11 or Coronavirus or about vaccines, - have been called crazy or evil by the people in power or by modern historians who work for the media


The history for tomorrow, - is being written today, - by the people in power and their allies



Researchers found that the history of Bishop Irenaeus could not be verified. Also, none of his original writings existed. There were translations and copies in other languages such as Latin. The dating of these manuscripts could not be verified and could be even as late as the 17th century. Latin writings were known to be controlled and created by the Church. No wonder these Original Christians were called heretics that were labelled to be crazy or insane

But one thing was clear, - the story that Jesus Christ was not crucified, was well known by many early Original Christians. These people believed, - that another man was crucified, - and this man looked the same like Jesus Christ. This is clear evidence that the Original Muslims and the Arabic Koran did not invent this story

Original Christians and Original Muslims in the past said that Jesus Christ was not crucified but another man took his place. Who are these people and what happened to them?




The Gnostic Apocalypse of Peter or Revelation of Peter says that another man was crucified in the place of Jesus Christ

There are many other similar historical documents

Original Christians who knew this story were called heretics. During and after the Renaissance, the Church fought these Original Christians and destroyed them

Researchers concluded that Pontius Pilate must have set free Jesus the Messiah. Pontius Pilate wanted to set him free. Pontius Pilate said Jesus the Messiah was innocent. The wife of Pontius Pilate said set Jesus the Messiah free. The evidence showed that Jesus the Terrorist, Jesus Barabbas must have been crucified and the Jewish leaders and priests must have believed it. Jesus the Terrorist, the son of the Father, the son of


God was a famous terrorist and murderer. It is not normal to let terrorists and murderers escape



**The Church destroyed the Cathars in the 13th century.
The dates cannot be verified because the history of
France has been fraudulently modified**

**The evidence shows that the Cathars were raped
tortured and murdered by the Church**

**The Cathars were early Original Christians in France
and Holland and Germany and Italy and in other places
in Europe**




The Cathars said that Jesus Christ was a prophet of God, same like other prophets.

The Cathars said that Jesus Christ was not crucified.


The Cathars said that Jesus Christ was not the son of God.

They refused to accept the Pope and the Catholic Church



The Cathars believed that the Bible which the Church was giving to the people in Europe, was a fraud and full of lies, and said that the Bible was created by the command of Satan, - the Devil

The Cathars were destroyed by the Church. The Church and its allies said that these people believed in 2 Gods: - God and Satan. They were devil worshippers. There is no evidence to show this, except from the history that was created and written by the Church and its allies. Researchers found evidence which showed that the Church was telling lies about the Cathars



The Cathars believed that Jesus Christ was married and had children, same like any other normal man. This information was recorded by Church historians. But Church historians also said that the Cathars were crazy people who believed that Jesus Christ did not have a real physical body

What was clear was that historians, who wrote for the Church, wrote crazy things about the Cathars. Why? They had to justify the murder and rape of the Cathars which was done by the Church and its allies. When the Church raped and murdered people, it always made an excuse why.


It was clear that the Cathars believed that: - Jesus Christ was a man, - and Jesus Christ was a prophet of God, - and Jesus Christ was not crucified. It was also clear that the story that the Cathars believed in 2 gods

or that Jesus Christ had no real physical body was a lie that was created by the Church and its allies. The Church wrote many other negative things about the Cathars.

The Cathars believed that Jesus Christ was a real man who got married, not an invisible man. You cannot get married if you have no physical body. Even a child can see that the Church and its allies are talking rubbish here. The Church and its historians, wrote the same type of lies, that can be seen in the Bible and in history

Imagine if historians in the future said that the American people followed a leader called Donald Trump. Donald Trump was invisible, but he got married and had children. A pathetic story... It is strange that many people today do not think carefully and believe what historians say. Even a child will know that this type of history is a big joke and a big lie

The history created for the Cathars was this - they were crazy - they were bad - they had God, but - they were devil worshippers. The full history of the Cathars that is available today was written by the Church and its allies and was nothing but a fraud



Toulouse in France was at the heart of the war against the Cathars. The Church started a war against the Cathars and murdered thousands of Cathars. The historical reports of what happened were written by the Church and its allies. Church historians say that the main war happened in the 13th century. The history and dates of what exactly happened and when, was falsified by the Church and its allies, but that story is too long to mention here

Louis of Toulouse, France was supposedly a Christian Bishop of France in the 13th century. The dates cannot be verified because the history of France has been fraudulently modified




Photo above - a painting by an Italian man called Antonio Vivarini - in 1450 - of Louis de Toulouse




A close examination of the painting shows that there is Arabic writing around the head of the Bishop. Researchers found that it cannot be verified who really painted the picture and when. Was this Bishop really Christian as historians claim? Or was he a Muslim Bishop? The whole history of France seemed to be a forgery.

Arabic writing was found in many paintings, too many to mention here.

What is going on here?

Arabic writing that is used by Muslims? The Cathars believed that Jesus was a prophet - same like the Muslims. The Cathars believed that Jesus was not the son of God - same like Muslims. The Cathars said that Jesus Christ was not crucified - same like Muslims. But historians called these people a Christian sect, which sounds like rubbish. Even a child will notice that these people seemed to be Muslims. Were the Cathars: - Muslim people?



Researchers such as Anatoly Fomenko (a very famous professor from Moscow State University) and others, - have shown that the history of Europe was falsified by the Church and its allies. Anatoly Fomenko presented much evidence which showed that the Cathars were really destroyed in the 17th century, - and not in the 13th century

After the Cathars were defeated in the 17th century by the Church, many of their children and their families were separated and taken as slaves by the new powers. Many other bad things happened in Europe to destroy this history in the 18th and 19th and 20th centuries, but that story is too long to mention here



Many of the castles that were owned by the Cathars and their allies were on top of hills and mountains. The Cathars were very famous for this




Photo above - Château de Quéribus - France

Researchers have pointed out that there is mud-clay that is over the walls of the castle as if it was thrown onto the building and they are questioning what may have happened there. What type of weapons was used to attack the Cathars? Were these buildings just ordinary castles, or did they have other functions? How did they build such castles? Or, was the clay actually part of the outer building? Was the building attacked by advanced modern weapons which caused the outer walls to melt?

Many similar castles can be seen in many places around the world




Photo above - Hosap Castle, Van district, Eastern Turkey




Photo above - the entrance to Hosap Castle.

There was lot of mud or clay that had surrounded the building. There is no proper explanation as to what was going on. How did it get there? What really happened to these castles?

Something was clearly wrong with the full history of the Cathars and the many castles that are seen worldwide. History was clearly a total lie.



Historians say that the war against the Cathars was known as the Albigensian Crusade because the Cathars were known as the Albi people. Also there is a Cathar city called Albi in southern France which is located near Toulouse




Photo above - Gothic Cathedral in Albi city




Historians say that Albi Cathedral was built by the Church after the destruction of the Cathars in the 15th century. It is one of the largest brick buildings in the world



The population of Albi city in 2020 was only around 50,000 people. The population of Albi city in 1900 was only a few thousand people. Based on population statistics for that city, the story of who built the Gothic Cathedral in Albi city did not make sense. Why would anyone build such a big cathedral and how did they

build it, when very few people lived there? What sort of technology was used to construct this amazing grand building? The population of the entire south of France was much lower in the 15th century and there are many huge castles and churches and many other amazing buildings in the area




Historians say that the people seen in the photo above, they are the type of people who built the Gothic Cathedral in the city. There are many Gothic cathedrals in many places around the world

Even a child will say that it is impossible to believe that these types of people could have built such amazing Gothic Cathedrals. What type of tools did they use? How did they plan and organise the construction? How

could they build such magnificent places without machinery or technology?


It was clear that history was hiding something. History did not make sense. All over the world there are amazing buildings and castles and fortresses. There is no real explanation of how these places were built.

Was this building really a church? There are hundreds of similar huge buildings around the world, and the history of who built them and how is being questioned by researchers




Historians say that Albi Cathedral suffered damage and theft during the French Revolution in 1789. Historians say that many other churches were also damaged around that time in France. Historians say that Albi Cathedral was restored between 1849 and 1876. The history of the 18th century and the 19th century was full of lies and falsifications but that story is too long to mention here

Many researchers found it impossible that very few people could have built Albi Cathedral. The people who constructed the original cathedral must have done mining to get the materials to build the cathedral and probably had advanced technology. Researchers concluded that the Cathars were destroyed in the 17th century by the Church and its allies and this was when Albi Cathedral was damaged. The contents of the cathedral must have been stolen by the Church and its allies.



Many people are questioning why cathedrals had such large spaces inside and why they had big doors. Maybe people were bigger in the past. The history of many of these amazing buildings that were built in the past did not make sense



Many modern building have very big doors. The big doors make it easier to bring machinery in and out of the building. Researchers have found much evidence which shows that cathedrals may have been industrial buildings or may have had advanced machinery inside them. Many researchers that the original contents in cathedrals and churches were removed or destroyed by the Church and its allies. The buildings were then transformed into modern churches, - but that story is too long to mention here



During and after the Renaissance, the Church was at war almost all over the world. Next door to the Cathars, the Church and its allies organised the Spanish Inquisition. This war by the Church was done in all the lands that the Spanish Empire invaded around the world



The Spanish Empire waged war against the original people of North and South America and in other parts of

**the world. Who was the Church really fighting against?
It was clearly a global war**




Photo above - Seville Cathedral, Spain



**Seville cathedral is the largest Gothic cathedral in the
world**



But this building was originally a Muslim mosque that was allegedly partly destroyed and modified. The history of Spain had many lies, but that story is too long to mention here.

Who built the Gothic cathedral in Seville and why?





Photo above - the Cathedral of Cordoba,

The Gothic Cathedral of Cordoba has Arabic writing inside it, and was originally a Muslim mosque which was built by Spanish Muslims. What did Muslims have to do with Christianity and Gothic cathedrals?



In Spain, the Church and its allies were fighting the Muslims. Historians advertise the Spanish Inquisition and greatly mention the war against the Jews in Spain, and this is why many people do not realise that the greatest war by the Church and its allies in Spain was against Original Islam and the Muslims


The Spanish Inquisition mysteriously lasted for over 350 years and ended in the 19th century. According to historians, the war against the Muslim cities in Spain took place around the same time that the war against the Cathars took place

Why did the Spanish Inquisition end in the 19th century? Why did it end in recent times? Was the timeline and dating of global history a lie?




In Spain, the Church and its allies destroyed many castles while they fought against the Muslims. In Arabic the word for castle is “cazar” which is pronounced often as “cathar” or “caszar”. The English word “castle” came from this Arabic word

Historians claim that the word “cazar” in Arabic came from Latin, but Latin was a dead language for centuries and the history of Latin is too long to mention here



Historians say that many European languages came from Latin, and the script was developed from the Ancient Greek and Phoenician writing systems



In summary - historians say that the Arabic alphabet came from Aramaic. They say Aramaic came from Phoenician. Modern Hebrew developed from Aramaic. Ancient Greek alphabet came from Aramaic. They say the Latin and Cyrillic writing systems came from the Ancient Greek (which came from the Phoenicians)



Researchers such as Anatoly Fomenko and others have shown evidence that the history of the Phoenicians was lie and was invented during and after the Renaissance.

Many researchers have shown that the Phoenicians did not exist at all. Many researchers have shown that the Phoenicians were actually the Venetians from the city of Venice in Italy

The claim that the word “cazar” in Arabic came from Latin, was nothing but a tale that was invented by historians to hide the true meaning of Cathar


What was clear was that “cazar - cathar” castles in Spain and Cathar castle in France and other places in Europe were being attacked by the Church. Church historians called the Muslims of Spain: - devil worshippers and many other things

Both these people had similar beliefs

The Muslims in Spain were called Arab people and the Cathars were called the Albi people. The Muslims in Spain were not Arab people from the Middle East, evidence shows that many of them were local people from Spain who spoke the Arabic language and therefore they were called Arabs



The Cathars were known to be in northern Spain in the region known as Catalonia. Even the word Catalonia comes from the word Cathar, - Cath - alonia



Historians say that the word Catholic, comes from the Ancient Greek words - kath and olou. Kath and olou means: “generally all”, from the kata which means “down” and olou which means “all”. Historians say that the world basically means universal or all. Anyone who does not know many languages will think this is correct. But researchers found that the original meaning was falsified by the Church and its allies


**There was a clear relationship between the words Goth
and Cathar and Catholic**

**English word - God
German word - Gott
Cath - Gath - Goth
Cathic Church - Gothic Church
Cazar - Cathar
Cathary - Cathaly
Cathaly - Cath - Allah
Cath - Allah
Cath - Goth - Gott - God - Allah**

**Different people pronounced the same word in different
ways, this was natural all over the world**

**The Cathars refused the trinity of the Catholic Church
and they said that Jesus Christ was a prophet of God.
The Muslims say the same thing**

The Muslims say there is only “One” God



One of the greatest prayers in Islam is the prayer known as - Qul Huwa Alahu Achad

This prayer is also seen as a declaration of faith

In Arabic this is pronounced as follows:

Achad Allah or Ahad Allah or Khod Allah, - depending on your pronunciation

**Khod Allah or Cath Allah means “One” God in Arabic
Cath - Goth - Gott - God - Allah**


Cath-olou, Cath-ally, Cath-allah, Goth-allah

All these words basically meant “One” God, depending on your pronunciation

The Pope and their allies invaded almost every part of the world, with their colonial empires and had many inquisitions and forced millions of people to convert or die. Researchers concluded that the Church took over the Cathars and took the name Cath-Allah or Cath-ally

and created a fake religion with fake history for the whole of Europe

Who were the Albi people?



Scotland was known as Alba before the Renaissance. This is similar to the word Alba in Irish (Alban - Albany - Albana - Albania). England and Great Britain was known as Albion




Albion generally means - white land. Historians sometimes claim that refers to the white colour cliffs in

Dover, but researchers disagree and believe that the word is global and has a historical meaning




The Hebrew word for milk is halav. People pronounce it in different ways.




In many modern Arabic dialects, the word halab or haleb means milk. The city of Aleppo in Syria came from this word - Aleppo - Alpo - Albo - Alba




Milk in the Arabic Koran language is - laban. Yoghurt, which is made from milk, is known as rawba. Rawba sounds very similar to rabbi and arawbi or arabi



There is a country in the Balkans, near Italy in Europe called Albania. The word alb means hill or mountain in several languages, including in medieval Greek (researchers found no evidence that Greek was an ancient language). The word alb - alab - alba also meant white in several Balkan dialects




The word Alp - Alb generally means mountain, especially mountains covered in snow. Snow is white in colour




Albania also happens to be one of the most mountainous countries in the whole world




Scotland or the land of Alba, is the most mountainous country in the United Kingdom (England and Great Britain was known as Albion - Alban - Albania)




There are mountains in Central Europe known as the Alps or Alpi or Alpes. They are seen in France, Italy, Germany, Austria, Slovenia, Switzerland, and Liechtenstein




The word Albino generally means white colour



There is a group of people in Greece called Arvan or Arvanites. They are known as mountain people




It is known that the word Arva - Arba - Araba - Alba - Alab is from the same origin. Arabian - Araban - Arban - Alban. Because languages were modified and spelt in different scripts after the Renaissance, many people in different parts of the world, lost the original meaning of many words



For example, many people in Greece question: - who are the Arvanites? Are they Greek or Albanian? They do not realise that history and languages were modified, and the original meanings were lost. It was clear that

this was done on purpose. It divided people and divided nations and caused much hatred between people. United we stand, divided we fall. The people of Europe seem to have fallen, ready for someone to take them over



The Hebrew word for the colour of white is - laban




The word laban or leban or aleban in modern Arabic refers to food or drink made from milk. Milk is generally white in colour



There is a country in the Middle East called Lebanon. It is an Arabian country that speaks modern Arabic. The word Lebanon originates from the words leban - laban - alban - alaban - arban - araban - Arabian. Lebanon has snow on its mountains.

Many historians state that the word leban came from the Ancient Phoenicians. Researchers noted that the history of the Ancient world and the Phoenicians has been falsified, and it is too long to mention here



Lebanon is a mountainous country, similar to Albania and Alba (Scotland)



The Bible mentions the story of the land of milk and honey. Many people say that Scotland was Alba - or Halaba. They state that Scotland was land of milk and honey.

But this claim can be made by Lebanon or Albania or the countries in the region of the Alps mountains

The evidence showed that there was a common language in the past that was used in many parts of the world. What was this common language?

Alba - Alaba - Araba - Arab - Araba - Eropa - Europa

Arabian - Aravan - Arvan - Aravian - Alabian - Alaban - Alban - Albion - Aleban - Leban - Laban

Researchers concluded that Albi people meant - Alabi people or Arabi people. The Albi people or Cathars were known for building castles on mountains and hills. The Arab Muslim people in Spain were known for building Cazars - castles in Spain.

The Arab Muslim people were known for saying that Jesus Christ was a prophet. The Albi people or Cathars were known for saying that Jesus Christ was a prophet.


The Arab Muslim people were known for denying the Crucifixion. The Albi people or Cathars were known for denying the Crucifixion.

The Arab Muslim people were known for saying that the Bible was a lie. The Albi people or Cathars were known for saying that the Bible was a lie.

**Arab Muslims were at war with the Church at the time
Albi Cathars were at war with the Church at the time**

**There was inquisition against Arab Muslims
There was inquisition against Albi Cathars**

**Both these people lived next door to each other: - Spain
and France. It was clearly the same war by the Church
against the same type of people in different places
throughout Europe and the world**




The map above shows an example of people throughout Europe who had similar beliefs to the Cathars. These types of people were in Holland, Belgium, Germany, Italy, Hungary, Bosnia, Serbia, Albania, and Macedonia. This is based on the evidence found in the history that was created by the Church and its allies. Church historians claimed that the other half of European people were Catholics, but researchers found evidence that the history of Europe was fraudulently invented.

Eastern Europe and Russia were supposed to be ruled by Kievan Rus or Russia, and the history of that region has another long story.

The people in South East Europe, who had similar beliefs to the Cathars, are called Bogomils by Church historians




Historians claim that the Cathars were greatly influenced by the Bogomils, who were found in Bulgaria and many other places in Eastern Europe



Bogomils refused to pay money to people who wanted to be the master or ruler. This money was called tax. Pay money because I have power. They refused to work in serfdom, which many people called slavery. They refused to fight for the state. States were formed by people who had money and power and were connected to the Church, who forced people to obey their rules. The Bogomils were truly free and independent people



Many historians say that the Bogomils had 2 Gods. Basically, they said that the Bogomils worshipped God and the Devil




Historians say that the history of the Bogomils was lost or destroyed by the Church and its allies. The information we have about the Bogomils, is what is told by the Church and its allies. And what are we told? They are devil worshippers. The same rubbish that the Church said about anyone it did not like



The Bogomils were known to be very big in Bulgaria and Romania and the Balkans, but a war against them was taking place, which was organised by the Church and its allies.


Bosnia soon became the heart and centre of the Bogomils. The Bogomils were attacked by the Church and its allies but many survived in mountain areas in the Balkans region, - where the Church and its allies found it difficult to find them




Researchers then found a big problem with what historians said. No evidence whatsoever can be found in original documents in Bosnia to show the existence of these so called Cathars or Bogomils or the story of 2 Gods. No evidence could be found to show the existence of these Cathar or Bogomil devil worshippers in the original documents of the Original Christians of Bosnia.

It was clear that historians who wrote for the Church and their allies were telling lies. There was no evidence of 2 gods or devil worship or the existence of the Cathars except for what was said by Church historians

This meant that the Bogomils and Cathars and other Original Christians, did not call themselves Bogomils or Cathars. These were the names chosen for them by the Church and its allies. They were simply the followers of Jesus Christ or: - the Original Christians




Historians say that the Bogomils of Bosnia and Serbia and Albania and Romania and Macedonia and Bulgaria and Greece and Croatia became Muslims. That's strange. And... this is a theory. There is a theory that the moon is made of cheese



No evidence can be found of the Cathars or Bogomils, except for what has been written by the Church and its allies. But - evidence can be found that the Bogomils or


Cathars of Eastern Europe survive today and they are called mysteriously called Muslims

They are not devil worshippers. They believe the same things like the Cathars. They believe that the Bible is a lie. They believe that Jesus is not the son of God. They believe that Jesus Christ was not crucified and that Jesus Christ was saved by God.



The people who were defeated by the Church and its allies suddenly became Christians. People had a choice to convert or die. The people were forced to obey the states and pay taxes and to become serfs - which was nothing but slavery. Property and land was taken by the Church and its allies and they created fake states or rulers to manage and rule these people

In the world today, modern historians, - which include television and media sources, show the world that modern Muslims are involved with the policy of: - “convert or die”. Evidence shows that many modern Muslim groups are agents and actors linked to the world powers who provide these groups with funding and with military weapons. Many of them have weapons that are made in America, Russia, Germany, China and France.



Do you think it is easy to find millions of dollars to buy weapons and fund a militant organisation and train people and then start military activities? These groups are causing havoc and chaos in many places around the world. But anyone who thinks for a moment and examines these military organisations, will realise that it was all a game planned by the global world order

There are many modern Muslim organisations that organise protests. Researchers found that they have literature which was created and published by the world powers in the last 2 centuries. Anatoly Fomenko and other researchers have shown that many fraudulent Muslim history books were created by the Jesuits and their allies, who were working for the Church.


Researchers also found that many of these organisations and their protests were planned and members got paid. It was nothing but a fraud and a big show

You do not need to be very clever or very smart to see the truth about the Cathars or Bogomils. It was clear that the Original Christians and Muslims had the same beliefs. It was clear that the Original Christians were all over Europe, but they were slowly destroyed by the Church and its allies. The Church and its allies created states and they forced people to obey the rules of these states. Today they are called nation states.

The Bible and Christianity were used as a tool and weapon to force people to be part of these states. These states created taxes and laws and created borders. They created armies and forced people to fight and they slowly destroyed the original civilisation that was in Europe. Evidence shows that this civilisation was advanced and had technology, but that story is too long

to mention here. Many people call this civilisation Tartaria, but it has other names also

If the history of Europe is analysed closely, it looks like Europe did not have the Bible or the Church a few centuries ago. It looks like Europe was invaded from the western side and this force is moving east towards the Middle East. A similar thing can be seen in Asia. The people who wanted to rule the world started from the eastern side of Asia and their forces were moving west.



This was not a conspiracy. The people who wanted to rule the world started making plans in the 15th century to destroy the previous civilisation. They made agreements and plans of how they will divide the world and take over


This is the time when they started to create new world history, in order to hide the old world system from the people. Everything was planned. Many of these treaties and agreements were hidden - why? Nobody is going to tell anyone their secret plans

Agreements and contracts were modified and updated every few years, depending on how the mission to take over the world was progressing during the 18th and 19th and 20th centuries


The Church and its allies were at the heart of these agreements. They were part of the invading forces that went all over the world. The Church and the Bible was used when it was necessary



There is a group of people known today as the Waldensians. Historians classify them as Protestant Christians. Researchers believe that this was done to create a false history for what is known as Protestant Christianity




According to historians, during the Middle Ages, the Waldensians Church was very big in Europe in places like Italy and Germany. The Church started a war against these people and they ran to the mountain regions of Switzerland and Austria - to the Alps.



The earliest historical records clearly show that the Original Waldensians shared the same beliefs as the

Albi people or the Cathars. These beliefs are: - Jesus Christ was not the son of God and Jesus Christ was not crucified and Jesus Christ was a prophet and they believed that the Bible was a lie. The Original Waldensians were mainly in the region known as the Holy Roman Empire



As usual, the Church decided to kill these people. A crusade was created called the Bohemian Crusade and inquisitions took place. Once again it was: - rape, murder and torture. The Original Waldensians were destroyed. Their families were separated and they were forced into serfdom or slavery. Today these lands are known as Christian lands.

The Church historians called these people Waldensians, who were together with Cathars. There were many more similar peoples throughout Europe, who had the same beliefs as the Cathars, which are the same beliefs that are found in the Arabic Koran

The history of the Waldensians was written by Church historians and their allies, who wrote bad things about these people. The Original Waldensians were defeated and destroyed.

Church historians wrote about many other sects and groups that did not accept the Church or the Bible.

Much of the history of these people was a lie. The people that wrote this history, for the Church and its allies, wrote as they were told.



Anything that the Church did not like was burnt or destroyed. Basically, they destroyed the evidence. This is what all criminals normally do after they commit a crime. Everyone knows it is a crime to destroy evidence. The Church and its allies, such as the nation states and colonial empires, murdered people and burnt or destroyed the evidence. It is clear who are the

criminals and the liars. But, we still have many people who believe and support the Bible and other manuscripts, - that the Church and its allies gave to world

What was clear was that the Church and its boys were gangsters. He will not listen to the Church? - Go sort him out. He will not listen to me? - Beat him up. He will not listen to me? - Kill him. It was clear that Europe was forced into the religion known today as Christianity and forced to accept the current Bible and scriptures

The date these events happened was questioned by researchers. Many researchers showed evidence that these events happened around the 17th century and not in the Middle Ages






Photo above - the Olivetan Bible - published in 1535



Historians say that the Olivetan Bible was amongst the first French translations of the Bible. Historians say that it was translated by a man who was a Waldensian

But... we have a serious problem here. The evidence showed that the people in France did not even speak French in the 16th century at all, - and the language was artificially created during and after the Renaissance



Even by the year 1789, around 250 years after the Bible as translated into French, the evidence showed that

more 50 percent of the people did not speak French at all in France. Only an estimated 10 percent of the people spoke the French language “reasonably”. This means that more than 90 percent of the people in France did not speak French as their original language.

The evidence shows that Church and its allies took over France in recent centuries and gave the people a new language by force and changed the history. They then gave the people a book called the Bible, in the French language

The big problem is this - the Bible was translated into French. Who did they translate the Bible for? Evidence shows that no one could speak French in 1535. Did they translate the Bible for ghosts? Or - are they telling lies about the dates of historical events?

The answer was not very difficult. They did not translate the Bible for ghosts to read. They lied about the dates of historical events. The history of the Church and the Bible was nothing but a lie





Photo above - First Italian Bible - published 1603-1607

But... we have a serious problem here. The evidence showed that the people in Italy did not even speak Italian in the 16th century at all and the language was artificially created during and after the Renaissance




Even by the year 1861, around 250 years after the Bible as translated into Italian, the evidence showed that

more 97 percent of the people did not speak Italian in Italy.

The evidence shows that Church and its allies took over Italy in recent centuries and gave the people a new language by force and changed the history

The big problem is this - the Bible was translated into Italian. Who did they translate the Bible for? Evidence shows that no one could speak Italian in 1603. Did they translate the Bible for ghosts? Or - are they telling lies about the dates of historical events?

The answer was not very difficult. They did not translate the Bible for ghosts to read. They lied about the dates of historical events. The history of the Church and the Bible was nothing but a lie




Historians say that thousands of books were written and published in the French and Italian language in the 16th and 17th century



Historians say that millions of books were printed in these languages in the 15th century and the 16th century and the 17th century

Wow - they were printing millions of books in languages that people could not read or speak. The history of books and the printing press sounded like a joke and a big lie

Researchers found the same problem in other world languages. Most modern languages were created recently in the last 2 centuries, but that story is too long to mention here



Biblioteca Girolamini Library - Naples - Italy

Who were they printing these books for? Ghosts...? Invisible people...? Researchers concluded that most of these books were forgeries that were created in the late 19th century and the early 20th century. The same problem was found in books written in other languages throughout the world.

A close examination of books before the 20th century and after: - shows that most of the books were full of historical lies and contradictions and forgeries. It was well known that thousands of books were being printed in the late 19th century, and were sold to people with false historical dates. The people in power, who regulated the printing industry and controlled publishing, - made big money from these books


This is one of the major reasons why many books from the 16th century and 17th century and 18th century and 19th century, cannot even show the history of the world for that time properly. If they were actually written at that time, then they would have more accurate details.

But, - instead we find many lies and many contradictions and many problems within their writings


The full history of the people who wrote these books, - and when, - and why, - was also a forgery. Researchers found that the history of the world was a lie, but that story is too long to mention here



It is well known in traditional history that the Cathars were connected to the Knights Templar



The Knights Templar had a big presence in countries like France, Belgium, Holland, Germany, Italy and England



The Knights Templar were: - the Knights of Christ and of the Temple of Solomon

Historians claim that they were a Christian organisation which was Catholic. This story was told by historians

who wrote manuscripts for the Church and its allies. Is this story really true?




What is well known from traditional history is that the Knights Templar were destroyed by the Church and its allies. The story of how why this happened and how and when, was written by the Church and its allies.

It is clear that this happened around a similar time that the Cathars and Bogomils were attacked by the Church. Historians say that this took place during the Middle Ages, but researchers believe that the Church and its allies have told lies. Researchers believe that the Knights Templar and Cathars and Bogomils were attacked more recently, in the 17th century.



In the 17th century, William of Orange invaded England from Holland in 1688, not far from Normandy. He was the true William who conquered England. Researchers found that the history of England was changed and falsified after the invasion of 1688. The Knights Templar and their allies in England were defeated and destroyed. The invaders then went to Scotland to Ireland

Evidence showed that William the Conqueror who invaded England in 1066 from Normandy, not far from Holland, was a fake story and the full history of England was created after the 1688 invasion. Researchers also found that the dates of these events were artificially created. The history of England is too long to mention here



It was well known that many of the Knights Templar mysteriously spoke the language of the Arabic Koran fluently, not modern Arabic. Historians say that they mainly learnt this from the Arabs in the Middle East, - but researchers say that this history was a lie



What most people do not realise was that Arabic was the international common language for the world in Medieval times and most languages today have many Arabic origin words, - but many people think these words are from Hebrew. The Church and its allies translated Arabic European books into languages such as Latin. They changed many books and destroyed

everything they could find. This was done through war and inquisitions and colonial empires



The French language mysteriously has many Arabic words and also many French words are clearly words that were modified from the Arabic language. Historians say that these Arabic words were in the original Old French language. This was the language spoken by the Cathars and the Knights Templar. Many of these words are in many European languages such as English and German and Dutch.

Historians say that they are words that were borrowed from the Arabic language. Evidence shows that the French people did not even speak French. The history of the French language was falsified. Researchers found that the French language did not borrow Arabic words, but evidence shows that people spoke Arabic before the modern French language was introduced.


That story is too long to mention here

Why was the Arabic language international? Why Arabic? Why was the Arabic language so important at that time? These are serious questions




The Knights Templar were destroyed. What we know about them today was written by the Church and its allies. The Church and its allies said that the Knights Templar were bad, - and they were devil worshippers

The same story as usual




The Knights Templar were very famous in traditional history which the common people in Europe kept alive. There were many stories about the Knights Templar and their connection to the Holy Grail, - the Cup of Christ



Historians reject the story of the Holy Grail and say that it is a myth that was created by the people in the past

What is the Holy Grail? The Holy Grail came from the story of the Last Supper of Christ




The Last Supper of Christ was so important in history that there were many stories about the Holy Grail. The Holy Grail is the Cup of Christ. It is the cup that Christ drank from in the Last Supper.


Researchers investigated why this story was so important in the past. Was it just a myth as historians say, or was there something more important?

Jesus Christ drank from many cups during his lifetime. Why was the cup from the Last Supper so important? If

Jesus Christ drank from many cups and had many meals, why was the Last Supper important and why did this cup become the Holy Grail?



The Bible and other scriptures give no importance to the Holy Grail. No original historical evidence can be found within the writings that were organised by the Church and its allies




Many researchers have always questioned why the famous painting of the last supper of Christ by Da Vinci, showed the 12 constellations.

Historians gave no realistic answer regarding this question

The Knights Templar were connected to the Cathars. The Cathars were connected to the Bogomils. The Bogomils who survived to this day are known as Muslims. The Cathars said that the Bible was a lie and had similar beliefs to the Muslims. The language they

**spoke was Old French, which had many Arabic words.
Their beliefs were similar to the Arabic Koran**




The Arabic Koran mentions that Jesus Christ requested from God to send down a table with food from heaven.

Because the table came down from the sky or the heavens, this is probably the reason why the constellations were added to the story by the historians and artists in the city areas

The Holy Grail or Cup of Christ became important because it came to earth from the heavens. It was not superstition, but based on a story mentioned in the Arabic Koran.

This explained why the heavens or constellations were shown in the painting of Da Vinci and why the Holy Grail was important. It is normal for Muslims to say that the Holy Grail is important, because this story is in the book that they follow. If the Knights Templar and

Original Christians did not follow the Arabic Koran, why did they place such importance on the Holy Grail?



The same problem can be seen with Christmas trees. People around the world make decoration on trees to celebrate the birth of Jesus Christ. Different types of trees are used in different parts of the world

The four Gospels in the Bible do not suggest any link between Jesus Christ's birth and any tree so why do Christians have a Christmas tree? The answer is not hard to figure out



**According to the Arabic Koran, Jesus was born beside
a tree**

**This explained why the symbol of a tree is so important
for the birth of Jesus Christ. It is normal for Muslims to
say that this story is important, because this story is in
the book that they follow. But where did Christians get
this idea from? The answer is not hard to figure out**


**The tradition of the Christmas tree is such a big
tradition and according to modern historians, they tell
you it is probably a pagan tradition from many centuries
ago and say many different things which greatly
confuse you, none of which make sense. Some
researchers say that such things were designed on
purpose to hide the truth - and to create such confusion
and difficulty for researchers to eventually give up - or
to finally think that there is no truth out there**



The Knights Templar were burnt and tortured and murdered around the time the Cathars were destroyed. This happened in England, France, Germany, Italy, Holland, and all over Europe



Their true history was destroyed. What we know about them was written by historians who worked for the Church and its allies. These historians said that the Knights Templar were devil worshippers. They wrote similar things about the Cathars



Researchers found that the Knights Templar denied the crucifixion, - same like the Cathars and Muslims




Researchers found that the Knights Templar did not believe that Jesus Christ was the son of God, - same like the Cathars and Muslims




Researchers found that historians who wrote for the Church and its allies said that the first charge against the Knights Templar was: - spitting on the cross


This was not against normal crosses, but the crosses that were used by the Church and its allies as a symbol, when they went to war to murder people. Convert or die was the basic policy of the Church and its allies



Historians who wrote for the Church and its allies said that the Muslims were spitting on the crosses used by the Church and its allies, but not on normal crosses




The Muslims were accused of worshipping the devil by the Church and its allies. There seemed to be little difference the Muslims and Cathars and Knights Templar. They all had similar beliefs and the Church and its allies were fighting against them all. The Church murdered these people everywhere they could. Were Muslims and Cathars and Knights Templar all the same people?



The evidence from the writings of Church historians and their allies, - clearly shows that the Islam and the Original Christians were not a separate religion during the Middle Ages. The Original Muslims and the Original Christians were the same people, fighting against the Church and its allies. The Original Muslims were the Original Christians. Original Islam was Original Christianity

The Original Muslims were Christians in the eyes of the Church and its allies. This can be clearly seen in the history that was written by the Church and its allies



The Original Muslims said that Jesus Christ was a Prophet and that he was not the son of God and they rejected the Crucifixion

The Church and its allies defeated the Original Christians or Original Muslims in Europe. They wrote the history. In their words they say that Christianity or Christians were fighting against the Original Muslims.


The truth is simple and not hard: - it was the Church and its allies who were against the Original Muslims, and the Original Muslims were Original Christians. This is why we see, the Church and its allies fighting these people everywhere and these people had the same beliefs

After the Church and its allies murdered and killed the Cathars and Knights Templar and Original Muslims on mainland Europe, they gave the children and the people a new history and the Bible and new languages.

This new history shows that Muslims are people that are outside Europe and shows them as a separate religion. There are Christians in the Middle East today who follow the Bible, - and evidence shows that these groups were also created by the Church and its allies, - but that history is too long to mention here



The Knights Templar were accused of loving “Baphomet”. Baphomet was later turned into mystical pagan figure in the 19th century. Researchers believe that this was done deliberately to hide the history of the Knights Templar and the history of Europe



Who was Baphomet? According to historians: - the first known mention of the Baphomet was in 1098, - which

says that the Church Crusaders used the word Baphomet, - to describe the Turks shouting the word Baphomet. The Turks, actually say Mahomet - and the Arabs say Mohamed. Researchers also found that the dating of the Crusades against Original Islam had been falsified, but that story is too long to mention here

In simple words, Baphomet was Mahomet or Mohamed. The Knights Templar were followers of Mahomet or Mohamed

At the time the Knights Templar were arrested, they were accused by the Church and its allies of loving a bearded man called Baphomet.



It was clear that the Knights Templar were accused of following the Prophet Mohamed. They were accused of being together with the Original Muslims. To demonize them, the Church historians and their allies created crazy stories about them and said that they were devil worshippers and wrote lies about them.

New stories were created in the 19th century to show that Baphomet was a pagan idol, in order to make sure that people did not find true history. New organisations were created using the name and legacy of the Knights Templar, but these people had nothing to do with the original Knights Templar. It was a great way to change and destroy, - the original history of the Knights Templar



Historians, who were working for the Church and its allies, wrote many different things about the Cathars and the Knights Templar. They wrote many different strange stories in order to make sure that people did not find out the truth about history.

These stories helped to make big money for writers and for tourism. Many different theories and stories are available about the Knights Templar: - mysteries, secrets, rituals and codes. New societies were created for the lies that were told about the Cathars and the Knights Templar. This was a great way to mislead people and keep them away from the truth

This means that the Church and its allies were afraid of the truth, and the truth is more powerful than the lies




The evidence actually shows that the Knights Templar were the followers of the Prophet Mohamed and were seen as Muslims. The Cathars and the Knights Templar were destroyed. But, - the Church and its allies failed to destroy the Muslims who survived in the mountain and desert regions. Did the Muslims preserve the secrets or mysteries of the Knights Templar?

The Knights Templar were known as the Knights of Christ and of the Temple of Solomon. Why King Solomon?

Below can be seen a statue of Charlemagne at Notre Dame Cathedral - why Charlemagne? And who is this

man really? What historians have told us about him is that he was a so-called leader who lived in the mysterious Dark Ages - and because that period in history is dark - we don't have much accurate information about him if any. Almost as if he did not exist - or as if he is a mythical character from the past.


Researchers claim that there is a similar problem when studying Jesus Christ and Alexander the Great, and many other historical figures.



Either we have don't have much information about the great man called Charlemagne or at one point in the past - there was a deliberate campaign to erase his true historical identity or maybe it was deliberately changed

or hidden - for the purpose of politicians or French Nationalists.

Victor Hugo says Notre Dame's construction began in Charlemagne's time. Researchers question when did Victor Hugo live - and if that was his real name - and if we really have his original writings - or have they been modified or even created after 1860.



The Hunchback of Notre Dame - by Victor Hugo


Researchers ask who was this Charlemagne - and say that it is very important to identify this so-called Charlemagne - because the Notre Dame is a very important building with Tartarian style architecture - and many buildings of similar architecture can be seen around the world.

Who really built them or where did the technology come from to build such amazing buildings? Many of them show advanced construction methods, and many may even be difficult to duplicate or construct even today. Also there are many buildings in some countries which are town halls or city halls that look very similar to churches or show similar construction designs. Researchers are asking how did the church acquire such buildings? Did they occupy the buildings after a global disaster or mud flood - and what was the original function of these churches?

Who was Charlemagne?

Many people from eastern countries immediately pronounce his name - Sharleyman - and they immediately think of Shuleyman or Suleyman in their history and religions. Suleyman is known as Solomon in English. Researchers began to question if the story of Charlemagne had been copied and modified from the story of King Solomon. Is Charlemagne - King Solomon?

It was not hard to see that Charlemagne - Sharleyman - Suleyman was: - King Solomon




It is well known that the Knights Templar were involved in constructing and financing many castles and Gothic buildings. The words Goth and Cath are connected to the word Cathar. Because the Church and its allies destroyed the history of the Knights Templar, many lies were written about who built the Cathedrals, and how they built them and why they built them

The construction of Notre Dame Cathedral of Paris took place around the time of the Knights Templar. Many


traditions say that the actual workers who built many of the Gothic cathedrals were known as the Children of Solomon, - because they were named after King Solomon. King Solomon...?



It is said that the Knights Templar organised and managed the Children of Solomon. The full history is not clear because the Church and its allies made sure that they destroyed the history of the Knights Templar




The words Cathar and Cathedral are similar to the word “cathode”. Cathodes are hot in temperature and used in devices such as radios and microwaves and televisions and computers and x-ray machines etc. Some Cathodes are known as cold cathodes, but they are actually hot in temperature




Historians say that the word “cathode” was created from the Greek language in the 19th century. The story sounded like a joke. The history of the 19th century was full of lies, but that story is too long to mention here.

Researchers found that a rubbish story was told to describe the origin of the word Cathode. This story must have been created to hide its relationship with the words - Khod - God - Gott - Goth - Cath - Cathar and Cathedral



Cathodes are found in Magnetrons, which are used in items such as microwaves and airplanes and submarines and ships. A Cavity Magnetron is a vacuum that generates microwaves. Magnetrons need no external elements except for a power supply



Cathode rays are normally invisible, but can be seen when they interact with other things






Photo above - example of Magnetrons and Cathodes



There are many different types of Magnetrons and Cathodes, - depending on what they are used for



The photo above shows Cathedral windows compared to Magnetrons and Cathodes. Researchers noticed that they looked very similar. There are many different types of Magnetrons and Cathodes. Some are very advanced and very complicating




Photo above - more examples of Magnetrons and Cathodes




Photo above - more examples of Gothic Cathedral windows

The similarities in the patterns were clear and obvious in many church and cathedral windows





Photo above - Villers Abbey, Belgium



Villers Abbey is a perfect example of suspicious history. Villers Abbey is a Gothic building in the middle

of nowhere and hardly anyone lives there. There are many similar buildings in the middle of nowhere



Historians say that it was built in the 13th century. Historians say that the population of the world at that time was much lower. Historians say that Villers Abbey was abandoned and destroyed around the end of the 18th century.

How did they build such a place in the middle of nowhere in the 13th century with horses and donkeys? If there are not many people living there, how did they build the cathedral with very few workers?






Photo above - Altenberger Cathedral, Germany




Altenberger Gothic Cathedral is a perfect example of suspicious history. The cathedral is in the middle of nowhere and no one lives there. There are many similar buildings in the middle of nowhere



Historians say that it was built in the 13th century. Historians say that the population of the world at that time was much lower. How did they build such a place in the middle of nowhere in the 13th century with horses and donkeys? Hardly anyone lives there. Maybe they built Altenberger Cathedral for ghosts or invisible people.

If there are not many people living there, how did they build the cathedral with very few workers? How did they build such a place at the time without modern mining and without modern machinery? Was Altenberger Cathedral really a place to pray to the son of God? Even a child can see that this history is a lie

Why did they such a big building if there is little or no people there? Did they make it for ghosts? Did they make it for invisible people? The history made no sense



Here is an example of the type of people who lived in Europe in the 13th century according to historians. Historians say that most of these villagers could not read or write and they had simple language skills. They had no electricity or machinery, - but they built all these amazing buildings in the middle of nowhere

Historians say that Altenberger Cathedral was mysteriously destroyed around the end of the 18th century and it was restored and modified around the 1860's. It was clear to researchers that the Church and its allies took over this building around that time and destroyed it. They removed the original contents in the building and they then modified the building and gave it a pathetic history.





Photo above - Ely Cathedral, England



Ely Gothic Cathedral is a perfect example of suspicious history. The cathedral is in the middle of nowhere and not many people lived there before. There are many similar buildings in the middle of nowhere




For example in the year 1800 there was less than 4000 people in Ely. This included men and women and children and babies and old people




Historians say that it was built in the 11th century. Major restorations and modifications took place at the cathedral, during and after the 18th century.

How did they build such a place in the middle of nowhere in the 11th century with horses and donkeys?



According to historians, there was only an estimated 100 households living in the countryside area of Ely around the time that the cathedral was built. Hardly anyone lived there at the time. Maybe they built Ely Cathedral for ghosts or invisible people.


How did they build such a place at the time without modern mining and without modern machinery? If there are not many people living there, how did they build the cathedral with very few workers? Did ghosts or aliens construct the building?



Many Gothic cathedrals have very thick walls, up to 10 or 20 feet deep. Are these buildings ordinary churches? Looking at the type of people who lived in the Middle


Ages and these amazing grand buildings, it was clear the history of these buildings was a lie. There are billions of people in thousands of similar villages in the world today, and not even 1 village in the world has been able to build a similar building in the last 100 years without the use of modern machinery.

But - historians and their allies have told the world that many small villages with a few hundred people - built thousands of castles and churches in the Middle Ages without the help of machinery. Sounds like rubbish. And guess what... people believe it




Anatoly Fomenko pointed out that the Cathars and Knights Templar and the Original Muslims and their allies had many cities and castles and cathedrals. After the Pope and the Church and their allies took over many lands in Europe: - Gothic cathedrals which belonged to the Cathars and their allies, mysteriously now became - “Christian Churches” or “Catholic Churches”

Many researchers concluded that the: - The Church and its allies destroyed the Original Christians and Original Muslims in Spain and France and England and Germany and Italy and Holland, and in many other places around the world. The Church and its allies then took over these buildings and destroyed the original function of these buildings. These buildings were then called Christian Churches



After the Church and its allies took over these buildings, they modified the buildings. They called it restoration. The original items found in the buildings were removed or destroyed. Many false statues and false objects were added to the buildings to create a false history and to hide the original function and purpose of the buildings

The same thing was happening all over the world. Buildings and monuments were modified to create a false history to hide the truth



Many of the windows had new decorations added to them, called restorations. These decorations were added to create a new history for these buildings. In many buildings, the windows were blocked or modified

Many Gothic churches are empty and closed and hardly anyone goes to them. In the 19th century more people went to churches because they were forced to go by the schools and orphanages that were controlled by the Churches, - but that story is too long to mention here.

Many Gothic Cathedrals and churches have been destroyed and their ruins can be seen around the world.

The Church and its allies destroyed many of these buildings, claiming that they were used by devil

worshippers such as Cathars and the Knights Templar and Original Muslims, who were the Original Christians. The story that historians said was a big joke. It was clear that they were hiding true history

The history of Gothic Cathedrals was a lie. There are many different types of cathedrals and churches and they may have had different types of functions.

Regarding Western Europe in the Middle Ages: -

The Knights Templar were mostly in the north and the west of Europe - England and France

The Cathars were mostly in the centre and the west of Europe - France and Italy

The Original Muslims were mostly in the south and the west of Europe - Italy and Spain

Historians who worked for the Church and its allies gave these people names such as Cathars and the Knights Templar, but it is clear that were the same type of people, with the same beliefs

The Church saw them all as enemies. The Church and its allies were fighting against all of these people during the same time period. They all had similarities in their beliefs and they all built amazing buildings

It was not just Gothic churches and castles that can be found in the middle of nowhere. All over the world there

are strange buildings that are found in the middle of nowhere







Photo above - Life-Giving Holy Trinity Church, Pyatino, Northern Russia



A close view of the Life-Giving Holy Trinity Church, Pyatino, Northern Russia



Inside: - the building is very big and amazing and it really is located in the middle of nowhere. Inside the building, there are decorations to show that this building was a church



Historians say that a woman built this building in the middle of nowhere, because she wanted God to forgive her son. Historians say that it was built in the 1830's using horses and donkeys. Researchers believe that this story is nothing but rubbish.

A woman told them to build this place in the middle of nowhere, just because she wanted her son to be forgiven...? A grand amazing building that no one will use, - because no one lives there. The story was nothing but a lie. It is a serious question - who built these buildings and why?




Photo above - decorations inside the building

Researchers believe that the decorations to show that the building was a church, - were falsely created in order to hide the true original function of the building

How did they build this big amazing building in northern Russia, in the 1830's in the middle of nowhere at a time when the world had no modern advanced machinery? The story did not make sense. Another serious question is who destroyed this building and why?

It was clear to researchers that historians were telling lies to hide the history of the world. There are thousands of amazing buildings in the middle of nowhere, all over the world






Photo above - Trinity Church, Novotroitskoye, Lipetsk Oblast, Russia



A close view of the Trinity Church, Novotroitskoye, Lipetsk Oblast, Russia. The building is very big and amazing and it really is located in the middle of nowhere.



Historians say that this building was built around 1820, using horses and donkeys. Researchers believe that this story is nothing but rubbish, - that was created to hide the true history of the building and the true history

of the world. This building was in the middle of nowhere and the history did not make sense



Historians and the media have promoted and advertised many different theories and ideas about how these castles and churches were built. They say that the methods of construction have been lost or they tell crazy stories which show that these buildings took decades or hundreds of years to build


Many different stories are told and they say there are many secrets and mysteries. Millions of dollars have been made by historians and their allies from such stories.

The word “knights” also had a suspicious history, many similar “knights” were known as “khanates” that were

**seen in cities in Eastern Europe and Asia at the time,
but that history is too long to mention here**


**The Church and its allies totally destroyed the Cathars
and the Knights Templar and their history. One
historical document from the Original Muslims survives
today called the Arabic Koran**

**The Arabic Koran does not hide the secrets of King
Solomon. It tells the story of the construction secrets of
King Solomon. The Original Muslims were known as the
Original Christians. The Original Muslims classified
themselves as the knights of Jesus Christ, fighting
against the Church and its allies.**




**After the Church and its allies took over Europe, they
changed the history and the languages of the people.
They told the children in schools that Christianity saw
Islam as a false religion, because it does not accept that
Jesus Christ is the son of God and does not accept the
Crucifixion. They advertise this and promote this**

What they don't advertise or promote is that the Church killed and murdered everyone who did not accept these beliefs. It was murder. What they don't advertise or promote is that the Original Muslims were known as the Original Christians.



The Church and its allies took over many parts of the world and created nations states. They not only wrote fraudulent history, but they created fake new religions and sects and organisations to control the world



Picture above - Arabic Koran, Chapter 34, verse 13

The Arabic Koran mentions the history of King Solomon or the Prophet Solomon. In the Arabic Koran, it states that a race of alien creatures lives on earth beside humans.

The Arabic Koran also describes the capabilities of many of these alien creatures.


These capabilities are practically the same as the capabilities of Artificial Intelligence (A I = 19)

The Arabic Koran says that these alien creatures are alive and that they were working for King Solomon. The Arabic Koran says that they constructed palaces and fortresses and castles and temples and waterways and

water bowls and heating machines, - that are fixed in their locations

These alien creatures in the Arabic language are called Jinn.

There are many stories regarding the alien creatures called Jinn, but many have been falsified to make sure that people do not find out who they really are.



Picture above - Arabic Koran, Chapter 7, verse 27

The Arabic Koran states that many of the alien creatures called the Jinn have a leader called Satan.

The Arabic Koran states that not all of the alien creatures called Jinn, - not all of them followed Satan.

The Arabic Koran says that Satan and his followers are watching us, but we cannot see them.



Picture above - Arabic Koran, Chapter 15, verse 27

The Arabic Koran also says what these alien creatures are created from. The Arabic Koran states that humans were made from solid and liquid and that the alien Jinn, - they were created from a type of flame, a flame that shows no smoke, a type of radiated flame, - or an invisible flame

The Arabic Koran clearly states that the alien creatures called Jinn did construction work and many other types of work for King Solomon

Construction with the help of electrical beings, - and the existence of advanced technology, - is mentioned in the Arabic Koran. This is the secret and mystery of King Solomon. The full story is described in detail in the Arabic Koran regarding this matter.

The Arabic Koran tells you to go and examine the world. The Arabic Koran says that these people are liars. The Arabic Koran says that the world was advanced in the past. But, - historians say that the world was not advanced in the past and the Church historians show in their writings that the world was not advanced.


The Arabic Koran does not hide the history of technology and construction and mining. The Arabic Koran does not say that it is a secret or mystery. The Jinns or alien creatures described in the Arabic Koran are seen as a type of invisible and electrical being. The Gothic Cathedrals and Cathodes and electricity all have a connection and researchers have presented much evidence about this, but that story is too long to be mentioned here.

King Solomon was the leader of a great advanced civilisation according to the Arabic Koran. There must be evidence of this civilisation around the world, and historians do not mention it. Historians and the Bible say that King Solomon was a local leader in the Middle East of a tribal small nation. The Bible and historians generally show that King Solomon had his horses and donkeys and show a civilisation that was not advanced

It is clear why the Church and its allies did not like the Original Muslims or the Arabic Koran. They were called devil worshippers by the Church and its allies.

The people who destroyed the Cathars and the Knights Templar wanted to hide this history and keep it a secret and keep this technology for themselves. The Church and its allies formed ruling nations and states. Most of these states and their historians told the world that humans may have originated from monkeys and there was no technology in the past. But, the Arabic Koran says that they are liars and says a totally different history


It is clear why the Church and its allies wanted to destroy the Original Muslims who were known as the Original Christians. It is clear why the Church and its allies wanted to destroy the Arabic Koran, and this war continues today




The Church and its allies, and Church historians of the past, and modern historians, have verified and checked their historical manuscripts. The evidence shows these manuscripts have been modified and have many

mistakes. They cannot hide this. They made sure that the world focused on these books.

What they don't say very much is that the Church and its allies murdered people in Europe and they burnt the evidence - this was called verifying and checking by the Church and its allies - Inquisitions. Criminals normally destroy evidence




Throughout Europe there are many canals. The photo above shows the city of Amsterdam in Holland - the Netherlands. Amsterdam is famous for its canals and waterways



Historians say that the 3 main canals of Amsterdam were built by the year 1660




Holland - the Netherlands, has an estimated 6000 kilometres of navigable waterways



There are many lakes or water basins in the Netherlands and they are connected together by canals and waterways. Historians say that many of them are natural features or made in the past without technology. Historians say that they used things like horses and donkeys

There are many similar lakes or water basins and canals or waterways that can be seen throughout Europe and all over the world.



Historians say that more than 3000 miles of canals or waterways which were connected together, were built in the United States of America by the year 1830.

Historians say that they used things like horses and donkeys

Go and tell the people of Bangladesh, to build canals and waterways, - a country that suffers from water problems and severe flooding. Millions of people in Bangladesh for the last 100 years have failed to build a single canal or waterway using their horses and donkeys. They have managed to build some waterways and canals, but with the help of modern technology

Researchers concluded that the story of how these places were built was a lie

The Knights Templar and Cathars and Original Muslims were famous for their castles and forts and fortress cities. There are many similar fortresses all over the world. They have many shapes and designs and some of them are known as known as star forts, but not all. Many researchers have found that the history of who built these places and how and why, - was full of lies.





Photo above - Rocroi village, Northern France and map of Turin city 1750, Italy

Medieval Castles and cities and fortresses are known to be surrounded by rivers or man-made canals or waterways

The Knights Templar were famous for their relationship to the secrets and mysteries of the construction technology of King Solomon. The Arabic Koran clearly says that King Solomon and his civilisation built grand fortresses and castles, - and built many lakes, water basins, waterways, - and heating machines, - that were built on the land, fixed in their locations. King Solomon did this by using the Jinns or alien creatures or electrical beings to construct them. Many people noticed that the shapes of rivers and forts, - have a connection to the stars and water and electricity, but that story is too long to mention here.

It was clear that the Knights Templar were linked to the stories of the Holy Grail and the secrets of King Solomon and the Prophet Mohamed. These stories are found in the Arabic Koran. The Knights Templar were Original Christians. They were seen as close to the Original Muslims, who were Original Christians. They were close to the Cathars, who were Original Christians



Around the time the Cathars were destroyed, the Church and its allies went south from France and into Spain. Slowly they killed and murdered people who refused to accept that Jesus Christ was the son of God. They burnt people who refused to accept that Jesus Christ was crucified. Spain was the territory of the Original Muslims at the time, and these people were classified as Original Christians. There were not seen as a different religion or separate religion. The Original Muslims were the Original Christians

According to historians - the Church and its allies then arrived in Granada the south of Spain, and in 1499, they were burning the Arabic Koran and other books in the Arabic language or anything they did not like. The date 1499 was falsified, but that story is too long to mention here.

Of course, the Church and its allies saved the books that they wanted, and these books had information about the science and construction of the castles and churches that the Spanish Muslims had built.

This means that the manuscripts and books that are available today, - are the ones that the Church and its allies gave to the world.

They burnt the evidence. They burnt the history. This was called verifying and checking. The Church and its allies have verified and checked the manuscripts in Europe. They have verified and checked the history. So the only history that you will find, is:


1 - The history that the Church and its allies gave to Europe

Or


2 - The history in the Arabic Koran which was originally seen as a book that was used by the Original Christians

The Arabic Koran has not been checked and verified by the Church and its allies. They failed to destroy this book. They rejected this book. This book is available today if anyone wants to see and understand who the Church and its allies were fighting against

The Arabic Koran says that the true name of Jesus Christ was Isa




During the Renaissance the letter "J" was introduced



The widespread use of the letter “J” did not happen until after the mid-18th century


The Latin alphabets were being updated. This raised the question, was Latin really an ancient language or was it created during and after the Renaissance by the Church and its allies?



Jesus was known as Iesu (or Iesus) or Isa for centuries in Europe, until the name was changed to Jesus. The Arabic Koran was correct to say that the name of Jesus is Iesu or Isau or Isa

The Muslims say that the true word for God was Allah, but the Bible and other manuscripts say it is Elah.

What is the name of God used by Jesus Christ and the Original Christians?



In Aramaic the word for God is - Elah or Alah, depending on how you wish to pronounce it

Researchers noted that different people wrote these words down in different ways in modern languages.

Different people in different parts of the world, pronounce words in different ways

Alah and Elah were the same word that was written and pronounced differently depending on the people




Historians say that Jesus Christ spoke a language called Aramaic

What is clear is that Jesus Christ and his original followers used the word - Elah or Alah. Jesus did not speak English or French or Spanish. Most modern followers of Jesus Christ today, are no longer aware of this because of the changes in history. Many Christians today that use the word Elah or Alah - are normally people who live in the Middle East.


The main groups of people in the world today who call God - Elah or Alah, are the Jewish people and the Muslims

Was the original word for God - Allah or Elah?




The Hebrew alphabet has no vowels.

So the word for God, is it Elah or Allah?



In the Middle Ages or Dark Ages a groups of scholars decided to create a new system of how to pronounce Hebrew or Aramaic words, according to what they wanted. These groups of people were known as the Masoretes. Many researchers believe that this history has been falsified to match the fake historical timeline. Many researchers believe that these Masoretes took place during and after the Renaissance



What is the Masoretes?

The Masorettes show you how to pronounce a word by adding dots and strokes (circles or lines)

The Hebrew word 'Elah' is written in black. The letter 'א' (aleph) has a vertical stroke below it. The letter 'ל' (lamed) has a dot above it. The letter 'ה' (he) has a dot above it. The letter 'ה' (he) has a dot above it.

Elah

The Hebrew word 'Allah' is written in black. The letter 'א' (aleph) has a vertical stroke below it. The letter 'ל' (lamed) has a dot above it. The letter 'ה' (he) has a dot above it. The letter 'ה' (he) has a dot above it.

Allah

Here we can see the Hebrew word for Elah and Allah. The word is exactly the same, but the dots and lines are placed differently. Without the dots and lines, the word for God was originally pronounced Allah, by Jews and Muslims and Christians

These dots and lines were created by a group of men called “scholars” by historians. These men are called the Masorettes. They decided that the word for God - Allah, should now be pronounced Elah. Who were these men and what gave them the right to decide this?

It was clear that the original word for God was Allah


A similar thing happened to the words: - Eropean and Arapean, Eropa and Arapa and Arabe, European and

Arabean. They were clearly the same word, but the way that they were pronounced, was deliberately changed


The Masorettes, what they did was not small. It now meant that people will pronounce words according to how scholars decide and this helped to change and modify the original language that people used

Many other scholars totally changed words and how they were spelt. It meant that original Arabic and Hebrew words became divided and different. A similar thing happened during and after the Renaissance and in recent times, when modern languages were given to people in the nation states

Historians found evidence that the history of the Aramaic language was a fraud and was created by the Church and its allies, - but that story is too long to mention here



This now creates a serious problem, - it means that the Bible and all the thousands of scriptures and manuscripts which show that the name of God is: - Elah or Eloi or Eli, must have been written after these changes took place. And these changes, according to historians, took place in the Middle Ages. This means that the Bible and these scriptures are not older than the Middle Ages



Historians say that the oldest manuscripts of Masoretic texts are from the 9th century, but the researchers have shown that it is much later. This means that the Bible and these scriptures were written after these changes took place

Historians say that the Cathars, Bogomils, Knights Templar and Masoretes were all during the time of the Middle Ages, but researchers have shown that the dating of many events was a lie, - same like the history was a lie. The story of the fraud in historical dates and timelines is too long to mention here



How did the Church and its allies fight against the Cathars and the Knights Templar and the Original Muslims? The allies of the Church got paid. The Church of God - told their allies to do as they wished. You can keep everything, you can steal, you can rape and you can murder. But - make sure you destroy the evidence

Who is the Church?




Judah is one group of people from the children of Israel. The rest of the Israelites are not the people of Judah. In the modern world, all the children of Israel or followers of the Prophet Moses are sometimes called Jews. But in the past, Judah had a different meaning




According to the Bible, Jesus had an apostle called Jude or Judah or Judas the brother of James and another man called Judas Iscariot. The name Jude and Judah and Judas are all written in the Greek manuscripts with the same word - Yudas or today known as Judas


The Bible clearly says that Judah Iscariot or Judas Iscariot betrayed Jesus Christ. In simple words: - Judah or Judas gave Jesus Christ to the Jewish leaders and priests




According to the Bible, Jesus Christ was arrested and taken to the Sanhedrin, which was mostly made of Sadducees - Pharisees and priests, and their leader was the High Priest



The Pharisees were basically a group of religious teachers or rabbis



The Sadducees were basically a group of high priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen




The Bible says that the Sanhedrin wanted Jesus Christ dead. The priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen - all wanted Jesus Christ dead



Whoever wrote the Greek bibles and manuscripts deliberately used a similar word for the name Judas and Judah and the word Judean or "Jew". This is why the followers of the Prophet Moses who were also known as Jews, got blamed for the actions of Judas Iscariot and the Jewish Sanhedrin - who were a group of priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen.


It was clear that they wanted to make sure that the ordinary common Jewish people got the blame for what happened. Why...? Then people will not realise who was behind the arrest of Jesus Christ. It was not the ordinary Jewish people, but a group of priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen



Anatoly Fomenko pointed out that evidence found in medieval texts in Eastern Europe in Slavonic writings showed that the word "Jew" and the word "priest" was the same word in the Middle Ages.


Jew = Priest

The Bible clearly says that a group of Jewish priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen wanted Jesus Christ dead. These people were the Priests and their allies. It was not the ordinary Jewish people, and not the followers of the Prophet Moses



The people who fought against the Cathars and the Knights Templar and the Original Muslims, were the priests and aristocratic ruling families and businessmen. They slowly took over many parts of the world and gave the world a new history and new languages and a global system. They are the ruling powers today in many parts of the world

Many researchers concluded that the Church is the group of priests that wanted Jesus Christ dead. This is why they killed anyone who did not accept the death and crucifixion of Jesus Christ. They fought against Jesus Christ from the beginning and the war continues today



There are many different stories about Jesus Christ found in many historical manuscripts and scriptures. What was clear from these stories is that Jesus Christ was the leader of a resistance movement against the people in power and their system of rule and way of life




Photo above - Afghanistan, Central Asia

A similar thing can be seen in today's world. There are many resistance movements throughout the world, against the people in power today and their system of rule and way of life.

Many people in these resistance movements, - looked very similar or identical, - to the people of Jesus Christ, that are described in the historical manuscripts and scriptures.


What is strange is that many of these resistance movements today are known as Muslims or followers of Islam. These people were followers of the Arabic Koran.

These modern Muslims claimed that they were following the example of Jesus Christ and other prophets of God. Modern historians have labelled these people as crazy or insane


There is a lot of politics involved, and the people in power are doing everything to destroy these resistance movements, - and the true story of why they are fighting is hidden by the media and the people in power. Fake Islamic groups and organisations have been created by world powers to make sure that these people are destroyed, but that story is too long to mention here

Who wrote the Bible and the history of Europe?


According to historians, there were many different organisations in Europe that had monks between the 15th and 17th centuries. Most of these organisations that we are told about by historians, are related to Christianity and different church organisations



Monks were trained to write books and also to draw pictures. The evidence seen from monasteries shows that they worked together as a team and many people were involved in writing. It was like a full time job.



According to historians, most writers in the 15th century were monks. These monks were educated from childhood by organisations controlled by churches. Most of the historical manuscripts in Europe were created by these monks



According to historians, the Church almost had a monopoly on the production and preservation of manuscripts in Europe. They dominated this area.

Therefore almost all the manuscripts that we have today are made by the Church. This means that the history

which is found in Europe today, was written and created by 1 group of people called - the Church

The Church burned and destroyed everything else


These monks wrote thousands of copies of the Biblical manuscripts, so that they can be sent to all the villages and towns and cities in Europe, - which were taken over by the Church and its allies by force. This is why we have so many manuscripts

Latin was the language that the Churches used in the west and Greek in the east. After the Church destroyed all the evidence, which is what murderers normally do, - they wrote crazy tales about the Cathars and Bogomils and Knights Templar and Original Christians and Original Muslims of Europe



The people in the cities that were taken by force by the Church and its allies, were referred to as: “Urban” people in the Latin language (English - urban, French - urbain, Spanish - urbano, Italian - urbano). The “Urban

people” were the people in the cities before the 17th century. Today the word has many different meanings



There was no letter “u” in the Latin alphabet until the 17th century, and it was not widely used until the 19th century

The city people were called “Urban people”, there was no letter “u” at the time. This word would be pronounced “Arban” people. Researchers suspected that It was written with a letter “u” to hide the history of Europe

“Arban” people in the cities or Arabian people?

Why were the city people called: “Arbans” or Arabians?




The Spanish Inquisition called the common people and villagers - “Arrabales”.



This word comes from an Arabic word, which means Arabian or European or common people or Arab language speaker. The common people in Spain for example and France and Italy, were commonly known as the Arrabales or Arabs, by the ruling powers who took over by force, during and after the Renaissance.


Why were the common people known as Arrabales or Arab speakers in Europe and in many other places? The

common people in the villages represented 90 percent of the people at the time



Today the word Arrabales refers to poor or common people in many countries around the world. The English word "rabble", was the name of the mass or majority of the common people. The common people represented more than 90 percent of the people. The English word "rabble" came from the word: - Arrabales - Arab

Rabble - Rabbal - Arrabales - Arab




The word rabble is connected to the English word “rubbish”, which is also connected to the word “Arab”. The ruling powers that created and edited the English language, made these words have a negative meaning. It was clear that they hated the word Arab and hated the common ordinary people. It was also clear that the common people in Europe were known as Arrabales or Arabs or Arapean or Eropean or European




The common European word for farming land - is the Latin word “arabilis”. This is where the common people lived and also did farming. This is known as “arable” land or the land of the common people, the land of the Arrabales or Arab people

An Arab is basically a person who speaks the Arabic language. Not an Arab by race. The Urban-Arban people and Arrabales: - it is not hard to guess who these people were and what their language and religion was, - before modern languages were created

There are so many historical remains in Europe such as Arabic coins which show that Original Christians also known as Original Muslims were part of Europe, but that story is too long to mention here



Historians say that the Church and its allies created the First Crusade in the 11th century - 1095, - against the Original Muslims, - who were known as the Original Christians



Researchers such as Anatoly Fomenko have shown much evidence that the history of Jesus Christ and Christianity and the Bible and the manuscripts was a lie. They pointed out how stupid was world history according to Church historians and their allies

The people of the world are told by historians that Jesus Christ was murdered and crucified, and 1000 years later, the Europeans wake up after they were sleeping. They were sleeping for 1000 years. Wow! They then woke up and went to fight and started Crusades. They fought in Europe and went to the Middle East, many of them walking for hundreds of miles in the Middle Ages. At last they went to take revenge for the murder of Jesus Christ, 1000 years later. The people they found in the Middle East were mysteriously Muslims, who were mysteriously the Original Christians, and these Muslims mysteriously built an international global empire called the Caliphate so fast from east to west

The Europeans forgot to start the crusades for 1000 years. What a joke. Even a child will know that this history is a scam and a joke. Imagine waiting 1000 years for the bus or train to come. These people were waiting 1000 years, - according to historians. The story sounded like rubbish

the Church

the Bible

the manuscripts

the Inquisitions

the Crusaders



the Cathars


the Knights Templar

the Arabic Koran

the Original Muslims


the Original Christians

They fought crusades and had inquisitions against the Cathars and Bogomils and Knights Templar and the Original Muslims who were known as Original Christians. It was clear that on one side was the Church and its allies and on the other side was the people who said that the Bible was a lie and the people who said that Jesus Christ was a prophet and the people who said that the Crucifixion was a lie



Jesus Christ did not eat pork. The Original Christians and Original Muslims did not eat pork, including the Apostles. Many Christians ate pork. Many modern Christians who say that the Bible is the word of God and say that Jesus Christ is the son of God: - eat pork.

The evidence shows that modern Christianity is a false religion and has nothing to do with Jesus Christ




The history of Jesus and the Original Christians and Original Muslims is very suspicious. The Bible, even with all its modifications, says that Jesus Christ said Shalom Aleykhem or Shalam Aleykum or Salam Aleykum.

This sentence means - Peace be to you. The Muslims and many Jewish people say the same words. The majority of Christians do not say these words, except those mainly found in the Middle East.

Researchers found evidence which showed that the Aramaic, Syrian or Arab Christians, were not ancient, but were fraudulently created by the allies of the Church, with the help of colonial military empires. This happened in the last 3 centuries. The Church and its allies created many other Church groups, such as the Protestants in the 19th century and gave them a false

history. These groups were acceptable because they used the Bible and manuscripts that were created by the Church and its allies. The Arabic Koran mentions a totally different history so it was unacceptable




The Original Muslims were seen as Original Christians. The Bible even says that Jesus Christ prayed by falling on the floor in the Gospel of Matthew. The Bible shows many example of prayer which seem to be the same as the way that Muslims pray. The Original Muslims have kept the traditions of Jesus Christ alive for centuries. The whole history of Jesus Christ is nothing but a fraud

A very serious question was: - When did Jesus Christ actually live? Did he really live 2000 years ago? Or did

**he live 1000 years ago? They forgot the crusades for
1000 years**

Based on the history of the Bible and scriptures and historical manuscripts, - historians have said that they have found no evidence which shows that Jesus Christ ever existed. This is very clear to understand.

The research of historians is based on historical manuscripts that were created by the Church and its allies. These manuscripts showed nothing but the lies that were invented by the Church and its allies. This is why they cannot find any evidence which shows that Jesus Christ existed



Researchers such as Anatoly Fomenko found that the history of the Prophet Moses and Jesus Christ in the Arabic Koran was different compared to what historians said. Anatoly Fomenko showed evidence from the Arabic Koran which shows that the Virgin Mary, the

mother of Jesus Christ, was the sister of Aaron and the Prophet Moses.

This totally changed the timeline of world history. Did the Prophet Moses live around the time of Jesus Christ? Did Jesus Christ really live 2000 years ago?

Was the timeline of world history a lie? The Arabic Koran showed a different timeline of world history. The Arabic Koran did not agree with the timeline created by historians. No wonder historians and their masters were against the Arabic Koran.

The story of Jesus Christ found in the Bible has many problems. Below are some examples of the problems that researchers have found. It says one thing in one place, and then says something different. The Church says they are not lies. People who did not accept this in the past, were murdered

The Gospels say that Jesus cursed a fig tree. Did the tree wither (die) immediately?

(a) Yes. (Matthew 21:19)

(b) No. It withered overnight (Mark 11:20)

=====

Did Judas kiss Jesus?

(a) Yes (Matthew 26:48-50)

(b) No. Judas could not get close enough to Jesus to kiss him (John 18:3-12)

=====

Did Jesus carry his own cross?

- (a) Yes (John 19:17)**
- (b) No (Matthew 27:31-32)**

=====

Did Jesus die before the curtain of the temple was torn?

- (a) Yes (Matthew 27:50-51 and Mark 15:37-38)**
- (b) No. After the curtain was torn, then Jesus crying with a loud voice, said, "Father, into thy hands I commit my spirit!" After he said that he breathed his last (Luke 23:45-46)**

=====

Did Jesus say anything secretly?

- (a) No. "I have said nothing secretly" (John 18:20)**
- (b) Yes. He said, "To you it has been given to know the secrets of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it has not been given" (Matthew 13:10-11)**

=====

The gospels say that two thieves were crucified along with Jesus. Did both thieves insult Jesus?

- (a) Yes (Mark 15:32)**
- (b) No. One of them insulted Jesus, the other defended Jesus (Luke 23:43)**

=====

Did Jesus go to Paradise the same day of the crucifixion?

- (a) Yes. He said to the thief who defended him, "Today you will be with me in Paradise" (Luke 23:43)**
- (b) No. He said to Mary Magdalene two days later, "I have not yet ascended to the Father" (John 20:17)**

=====

When Paul was on the road to Damascus he saw a light and heard a voice. Did those who were with him hear the voice?

(a) Yes (Acts 9:7)

(b) No (Acts 22:9)

=====

When Paul saw the light he fell to the ground. Did his traveling companions also fall to the ground?

(a) Yes (Acts 26:14)

(b) No (Acts 9:7)

=====

Did the voice tell Paul what he should do?

(a) Yes (Acts 26:16-18)

(b) No. The voice commanded Paul to go into the city of Damascus and there he will be told what he must do.

(Acts 22:10)

=====

When Jesus entered Jerusalem did he cleanse the temple that same day?

(a) Yes (Matthew 21:12)

(b) No. He went into the temple but it was very late He went to Bethany and then returned the next morning to cleanse the temple (Mark 11:1-17).

=====

What did Judas do with the blood money he received for betraying Jesus?

(a) Judas bought a field himself (Acts 1:18)

(b) Judas threw all of it into the temple and went away. The priests used it to buy a field (Matthew 27:5)

=====

How did Judas die?

(a) After he threw the money into the temple he went away and hanged himself (Matthew 27:5)

(b) After he bought the field with the price of his evil deed he fell headlong and burst open in the middle and all his bowels gushed out (Acts 1:18)

=====

Why is the field called the “Field of Blood”?

(a) Because the priests bought it with the blood money (Matthew 27:8)

(b) Because of the bloody death of Judas in the field (Acts 1:19)

=====

Who is a ransom for whom?

(a) “The Son of Man came...to give his life as a ransom for many” (Mark 10:45). “Christ Jesus who gave himself as a ransom for all...” (1 Timothy 2:5-6)

(b) “The wicked is a ransom for the righteous, and the faithless for the upright” (Proverbs 21:18)

=====

Is the law of Moses useful?

(a) Yes. “All scripture is... profitable...” (2 Timothy 3:16)

(b) No. “A former commandment is set aside because of its weakness and uselessness.” (Hebrews 7:18)

=====

What was the exact wording on the cross?

(a) “This is Jesus the King of the Jews” (Matthew 27:37)

(b) “The King of the Jews” (Mark 15:26)

(c) “This is the King of the Jews” (Luke 23:38)

(d) “Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews” (John 19:19)

=====

Did Herod want to kill John the Baptist?

(a) Yes (Matthew 14:5)

(b) No. It was Herodias, the wife of Herod who wanted to kill him. But Herod knew that he was a righteous man and kept him safe (Mark 6:20)

=====

Who was the tenth disciple of Jesus in the list of twelve?

(a) Thaddaeus (Matthew 10:1-4; Mark 3:13-19)

(b) Judas the son of James (Luke 6:12-16)

=====

Was Jesus crucified on the daytime before the Passover meal or the daytime after?

(a) After (Mark 14:12-17)

(b) Before. Before the feast of the Passover (John 1) Judas went out at night (John 13:30). The other disciples thought he was going out to buy supplies to prepare for the Passover meal (John 13:29). When Jesus was arrested, the Jews did not enter Pilate's judgment hall because they wanted to stay clean to eat the Passover (John 18:28). When the judgment was pronounced against Jesus, it was about the sixth hour on the day of Preparation for the Passover (John 19:14)

=====

Did Jesus pray to The Father to prevent the crucifixion?

(a) Yes. (Matthew 26:39; Mark 14:36; Luke 22:42)

(b) No. (John 12:27)

=====

In the gospels which say that Jesus prayed to avoid the cross, how many times did he move away from his disciples to pray?

- (a) Three (Matthew 26:36-46 and Mark 14:32-42)**
- (b) One. No opening is left for another two times. (Luke 22:39-46)**

=====

Matthew and Mark agree that Jesus went away and prayed three times. What were the words of the second prayer?

- (a) Mark does not give the words but he says that the words were the same as the first prayer (Mark 14:3 9)**
- (b) Matthew gives us the words, and we can see that they are not the same as in the first (Matthew 26:42)**

=====

When Jesus said “My God, my God, why have you forsaken Me?” in what language did he speak?

- (a) Hebrew: the words are “Elah, Elah.” (Matthew 27:46)**
- (b) Aramaic: the words are “Elah, Elah.” (Mark 15:34)**

=====

According to the gospels, what were the last words of Jesus before he died?

- (a) “Father, into your hands I commit my spirit!” (Luke 23:46)**
- (b) “It is finished” (John 19:30).**

=====

When Jesus entered Capernaum he healed the slave of a centurion. Did the centurion come personally to request Jesus for this?

- (a) Yes (Matthew 8:5)**

**(b) No. He sent some elders of the Jews and his friends
(Luke 7:3-6)**

=====

Apart from Jesus did anyone else go up to heaven?

(a) No (John 3:13)

**(b) Yes. “And Elijah went up by a whirlwind into
heaven” (2 Kings 2:11)**

=====

**Was Jesus’ body wrapped in spices before burial
according to Jewish burial customs?**

**(a) Yes and his female disciples witnessed his burial
(John 19:39-40)**

**(b) No. Jesus was simply wrapped in a linen shroud.
Then the women bought and prepared spices “so that
they may go and anoint him (Jesus)” (Mark 16:1)**

=====

When did the women buy the spices?

(a) After the Sabbath was past (Mark 16:1)

**(b) Before the Sabbath. The women prepared spices
and ointments. Then on the Sabbath they rested
according to the commandment (Luke 23:55 to 24:1)**

=====

At what time of day did the women visit the tomb?

(a) “Towards the dawn” (Matthew 28:1)

(b) “After the sun had risen” (Mark 16:2)

=====

**What was the purpose for which the women went to the
tomb?**

**(a) To anoint Jesus’ body with spices (Mark 16:1; Luke
23:55 to 24:1)**

**(b) To see the tomb. No mention of spices here
(Matthew 28:1)**

**(c) For no specified reason. In this gospel the wrapping
with spices had already been done before the Sabbath
(John 20:1)**

=====

A large stone was placed at the entrance of the tomb.

Where was the stone when the women arrived?

**(a) They saw that the stone was “Rolled back” (Mark
16:4). They found the stone “rolled away from the tomb”
(Luke 24:2). They saw that “the stone had been taken
away from the tomb” (John 20:1)**

**(b) As the women approached, an angel came from
heaven and rolled away the stone and talked with the
women. Matthew said the women witnessed the
amazing “rolling away of the stone” (Matthew 28:1-6)**

=====

**Did anyone tell the women what happened to Jesus’
body?**

**(a) Yes. “A young man in a white robe” (Mark 16:5).
“Two men ... in dazzling apparel” later described as
angels (Luke 24:4 and 24:23).**

**(b) Yes. An angel, - the one who rolled back the stone
(Matthew 16:2). In each case the women were told that
Jesus had risen from the dead (Matthew 28:7; Mark
16:6; Luke 24:5 footnote)**

**(c) No. Mary met no one and returned saying, “They
have taken the Lord out of the tomb, and we do not
know where they have put him” (John 20:2)**

=====

When did Mary Magdalene first meet the resurrected Jesus? And how did she react?

- (a) Mary and the other women met Jesus on their way back from their first and only visit to the tomb. They took hold of his feet and worshipped him (Matthew 28:9)**
- (b) On her second visit to the tomb Mary met Jesus just outside the tomb. When she saw Jesus she did not recognize him. She thought he was the gardener. But, when Jesus said her name, she recognized him and said "Teacher". Jesus replied, "Do not hold me..." (John 20:11-17)**

=====

What was Jesus' instruction for his disciples?

- (a) "Tell my brethren to go to Galilee and there they will see me" (Matthew 28:10)**
- (b) "Go to my brethren and say to them, I am ascending to my Father and your Father, to my God and your God" (John 20:17)**

=====

How many disciples did Jesus appear to after his resurrection?

- (a) Twelve (1 Corinthians 15:5)**
- (b) Eleven (Matthew 27:3-5 and Acts 1:9-26, see also Matthew 28:16; Mark 16:14 footnote; Luke 24:9; Luke 24:33)**

=====

Where was Jesus three days after his baptism?

- (a) After his baptism, "the spirit immediately drove him out into the wilderness. And he was in the wilderness forty days ... (Mark 1:12-13)**

(b) Next day after the baptism, Jesus selected two disciples. Second day: Jesus went to Galilee – two more disciples. Third day: Jesus was at a wedding feast in Cana in Galilee (see John 1:35; 1:43; 2:1-11)

=====

Was baby Jesus' life threatened in Jerusalem?

(a) Yes, so Joseph fled with him to Egypt and stayed there until Herod died (Matthew 2:13-23)

(b) No. The family fled nowhere. They calmly presented the child at the Jerusalem temple according to the Jewish customs and returned to Galilee (Luke 2:21-40)

=====

When Jesus walked on water how did the disciples respond?

(a) They worshipped him, saying, "Truly you are the Son of God" (Matthew 14:33)

(b) "They were totally shocked, for they did not understand about the loaves, but their hearts were hardened" (Mark 6:51-52)

=====

Who was the father of Joseph, husband of Mary?

(a) Jacob (Matthew 1:16)

(b) Hell (Luke 3:23)

=====

Jesus descended from which son of David?

(a) Solomon (Matthew 1:6)

(b) Nathan (Luke 3:31)

=====

Who was the father of Shealtiel?

(a) Jechoniah (Matthew 1:12)

(b) Neri' (Luke 3:27)

=====

Which son of Zerubbabel was an ancestor of Jesus Christ?

(a) Abiud (Matthew 1:13)

(b) Rhesa (Luke 3:27) But the seven sons of Zerubbabel were called: i. Meshullam, ii. Hananiah, iii. Hashubah, iv. Ohel, v. Berechiah, vi. Hasadiah, viii. Jushabhesed (1 Chronicles 3:19-20). Zerubbabel had no sons called Abiud and Rhesa

=====

Who was the father of Uzziah?

(a) Joram (Matthew 1:8)

(b) Amaziah (2 Chronicles 26:1)

=====

Who was the father of Jechoniah?

(a) Josiah (Matthew 1:11)

(b) Jeholakim (I Chronicles 3:16)

=====

How many generations were between the Babylonian exile and Christ?

(a) Matthew says fourteen (Matthew 1:17)

(b) But a careful count of the generations reveals only thirteen (see Matthew 1:12-16)

=====

Who was the father of Shelah?

(a) Cainan (Luke 3:35-36)

(b) Arphaxad (Genesis 11: 12)

=====

Was John the Baptist “the Elijah” who was predicted to come?

(a) Yes (Matthew 11:14, 17:10-13)

(b) No (John 1:19-21)

=====

Would Jesus inherit David’s throne?

(a) Yes. So said the angel (Luke 1:32)

(b) No, because he is a descendant of Jehoiakim (see Matthew 1: 11, 1 Chronicles 3:16). Because Jehoiakim was cursed by God that none of his descendants can sit on David’s throne (Jeremiah 36:30)

=====

Jesus rode into Jerusalem on how many animals?

(a) One – a colt (Mark 11:7; compare Luke 19:35). “And they brought the colt to Jesus and threw their garments on it; and he sat upon it.”

(b) Two – a colt and an ass (Matthew 21:7). “They brought the ass and the colt and put their garments on them and he sat thereon.”

=====

Where did Jesus first meet Simon Peter and Andrew?

(a) By the sea of Galilee (Matthew 4:18-22)

(b) On the banks of river Jordan (John 1:42). After that, Jesus decided to go to Galilee (John 1:43)

=====

When Jesus met Jairus, was Jairus’s daughter already dead?

(a) Yes. Matthew 9:18 wrote, “My daughter has just died.”

(b) No. Mark 5:23 says, “My little daughter is at the point of death.”

=====

Did Jesus allow his disciples to keep a staff on their journey?

(a) Yes (Mark6:8)

(b) No (Matthew 10:9; Luke 9:3)

=====

Did Herod think that Jesus was John the Baptist?

(a) Yes (Matthew 14:2; Mark 6:16)

(b) No (Luke 9:9)

=====

Did John the Baptist recognize Jesus before his baptism?

(a) Yes (Matthew 3:13-14)

(b) No (John 1:32-33)

=====

Did John the Baptist recognize Jesus after his baptism?


(a) Yes (John 1:32-33)

(b) No (Matthew 11:2)

=====

There are hundreds more problems found in the Bible and in Christian scriptures, too long to mention them here

Are these words in the Bible - the proof that the Churches and Christians use to show that Jesus Christ is the son of god? Are these words in the Bible - the proof that Jesus Christ was crucified?



Who wrote the Bible? We don't know.

Who said Jesus is the son of God? We don't know

Who said Jesus was crucified? We don't know

Who wrote all the manuscripts? We don't know

Who is: - Matthew Mark Luke and John? We don't know

**The Cathars and the Original Muslims in Europe
rejected the Bible - they said that it was a lie**

**Researchers concluded that the Church and its allies
were nothing but a group of liars. They murdered the
Cathars and the Knights Templar and the Original
Christians and the Original Muslims. What type of
history is this? They destroyed all the history they did
not like. We only have "1" side of history, the side
written by murderers. We must examine the history of
the world from the other side**

**It is not hard to see the truth. And yet, many people will
still say that the Bible is the word of God and say that
Jesus Christ is the son of God. They say that Jesus**

Christ was crucified. Where is their evidence? Why don't they produce their proof, if they are speaking the truth?

The evidence showed that Christianity was based on many lies. And yet still, many people say the Bible is the word of God and believe that Jesus Christ is the son of God. And many people are using the Bible to explain science and history. And many people are now using Christianity and the Bible to explain Tartaria and subjects relating to Tartaria, - are they joking?

Researchers concluded that the Bible and Christianity were not part of the previous civilisation that many people call Tartaria, but they were fraudulently created and used as a weapon to destroy it


Good luck if you wish to know more about these subjects. Below are books about these topics

- 1 - Tartaria - Mud Flood**
- 2 - Tartaria - History is a Lie**
- 3 - Tartaria - Ancient Egypt**
- 4 - Tartaria - Hitler**
- 5 - Tartaria - Coronavirus**
- 6 - Tartaria - Atlantis**
- 7 - Tartaria - Transvestigation**
- 8 - Tartaria - the Renaissance**
- 9 - Tartaria - Flat Earth**
- 10 - Tartaria - Tartar City**

11 - Tartaria - Orphan Trains

12 - Tartaria - Lemuria

13 - Tartaria - Jesus Christ



© David Ewing Jr 2018